

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/661,200 | ,200 09/12/2003 | Steve Klotz | 15436.252.1.1 | 7200 |
| 22913 7590 03/31/2008 WORKMAN NYDEGGER 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 | | EXAM | INER | |
| | | MASKULINSKI, MICHAEL C | | |
| | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , , | | 2113 | |
| | | | | |
| • | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | 03/31/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Application Number |
|--------------------|
| |

| Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| 10/661,200 | KLOTZ ET AL. | |
| | Art Unit | |
| Michael Maskulinski | 2113 | |
| <u> </u> | | |

Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review



This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed Jan. 30, 2008.

| | s to in roops not to this risk appear and risk deduction in | <u> </u> |
|-------------------------|---|---|
| | 1. ☐ Improper Request – The Request is improper reason(s): | and a conference will not be held for the following |
| | ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed conc ☐ The request does not include reasons why a ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the F ☐ Other: . | review is appropriate. |
| | The time period for filing a response continues to run the mail date of the last Office communication, if no N | |
| h is b re a | held. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance wi brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this de running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which | erferences A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ith 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ecision, or the balance of the two-month time period never is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date |
| | ☑ The panel has determined the status of the of Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 16 and 22. Claim(s) rejected: 1-15 and 17-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | claim(s) is as follows: |
| Δ | 3. Allowable application – A conference has bee Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits reapplicant at this time. | |
| | 4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by | |
| All p | participants: | |
| (1) <u>M</u> | Michael Maskulinski. | (3)Eddie C. Lee |
| (2) <u>R</u> | Robert Beausolei. | (4)(|